arXiv:1606.05214v1 [math.SP] 16 Jun 2016

The maximum of the minimal multiplicity of eigenvalues of symmetric matrices whose pattern is constrained by a graph

Polona Oblak[a,*], Helena Šmigoc[b]

[a]*Faculty of Computer and Information Science, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 113, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*
[b]*School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland*

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a parameter $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$, defined as the maximum over the minimal multiplicities of eigenvalues among all symmetric matrices corresponding to a graph G. We compute $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ for several families of graphs.

Keywords: Symmetric matrix, Multiplicity of an eigenvalue, Minimal rank, Graph
2000 MSC: 05C50, 15A18, 15B57

1. Introduction

Given a simple undirected graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let $S(G)$ be the set of all real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ such that, for $i \neq j$, $a_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $(i, j) \in E(G)$. There is no restriction on the diagonal entries of A.

The graph G pozes some conditions on the eigenvalues of $A \in S(G)$, and several questions in the literature are trying to better understand those conditions. The most general is the question of characterizing all lists of real

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: polona.oblak@fri.uni-lj.si (Polona Oblak), helena.smigoc@ucd.ie (Helena Šmigoc)

[1]This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland under Grant 11/RFP.1/MTH/3157.

numbers

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$$

that can be the spectrum of a matrix $A \in S(G)$, and is known as the *Inverse eigenvalue problem for G*. This question and the related question of characterizing all possible multiplicities of eigenvalues of matrices in $S(G)$ have been studied primarily for trees [6, 11, 13, 14]. A subproblem to the inverse eigenvalue problem for graphs that has attracted a lot of attention over the years is that of minimizing the rank of all $A \in S(G)$. Finding the minimal rank of G, defined as

$$\mathrm{mr}(G) = \min\{\mathrm{rk}(A); A \in S(G)\},$$

is equivalent to finding the maximal multiplicity of an eigenvalue of $A \in S(G)$, denoted by $M(G)$. *The minimum rank problem* has been resolved for several families of graphs. We refer the reader to an excellent survey paper on the problem [8] where additional references can be found. A more recent survey paper [7] not only gives an up-to-date on the minimum rank problem, but it also talks about several of its variants that can be found in the literature. For example, the possible inertia of matrices $A \in S(G)$ has been studied in [2, 3, 4] and the minimum number of distinct eigenvalues in [1]. For a matrix A, we let $q(A)$ denote the number of distinct eigenvalues of A. For a graph G, we define

$$q(G) = \min\{q(A);\ A \in S(G)\}.$$

In [15] we considered the problem of determining for which graphs G there exists a matrix in $S(G)$ whose characteristic polynomial is a square, i.e. the multiplicities of all its eigenvalues are even. This question is closely related to the question of determining for which graphs G there exists a matrix in $S(G)$ with all the multiplicities of eigenvalues at least 2. In this paper we bring this topic further by defining and studying a new parameter for a graph G denoted by $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$. For a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ we denote $\mathrm{Mm}(A)$ to be the minimal eigenvalue multiplicity of A. Then $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ is defined to be:

$$\mathrm{Mm}(G) = \max\{\mathrm{Mm}(A); A \in S(G)\}.$$

In order words, we define $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ to be the maximum over the minimal multiplicities of eigenvalues among all $A \in S(G)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for all nonempty graphs on n vertices. If $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 1$, then all matrices in $S(G)$

must have a simple eigenvalue. Moreover, it is clear that we can determine an upper bound for $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ in terms of $M(G)$ and $q(G)$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq M(G),\ \mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{|G|}{q(G)} \right\rfloor. \tag{1}$$

As we will see later in the paper both bounds can be achieved for some but not all graphs G. It is clear from (1) that graphs that have large Mm, will have to have relatively small q, and that the two parameters are related.

To determine $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ for a given graph G we need to control the multiplicities of all the eigenvalues of $A \in S(G)$, and in doing so we further our understanding of the Inverse eigenvalue problem for G.

Our paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and some preliminary result that give introductory insight into $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ and mostly follow from known results. In Section 3 we recall a few constructions from the literature and develop some generalizations that we need later. In Section 4 we look for graphs with large Mm. We show that the equality $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ is achieved for practically all graphs with $\mathrm{mr}_+(G) = 2$. We also determine $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ for complete bipartite graphs. In Section 5 we look at the graphs with small $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$. We choose from the graphs G on n vertices with $\mathrm{mr}(G) = n-2$, and we show that among those graphs there exist graphs with $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 1$ and graphs with $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 2$.

2. Notation and preliminary results

By $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with real entries. By I_n we denote the identity matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and by 0_n we denote the zero matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Our notation concerning graphs is as follows. For a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ we denote its order by $|G| = |V(G)|$. The complement G^c of a graph G is the graph on vertices $V(G)$ such that two vertices are adjacent in G^c if and only if they are not adjacent in G. The join $G \vee H$ of G and H is the graph union $G \cup H$ together with all the possible edges joining the vertices in G to the vertices in H. The complete graph on n vertices will be denoted by K_n and complete bipartite graph on disjoint sets of cardinality m and n by $K_{m,n}$.

The Cartesian product $G \square H$ of graphs G, H is a graph with the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) \in E(G \square H)$ if and only if either

$u_1 = v_1$ and $(u_2, v_2) \in E(H)$ or $u_2 = v_2$ and $(u_1, v_1) \in E(G)$. The tensor product of two graphs is defined as $G \times H = (V(G \times H), E(G \times H))$. where $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and $((u, u'), (v, v')) \in E(G \times H)$ if and only if $(u, v) \in E(G)$ and $(u', v') \in E(H)$. The strong product $G \boxtimes H$ of graphs G, H is a graph with the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) \in E(G \boxtimes H)$ if and only if either $u_1 = v_1$ and $(u_2, v_2) \in E(H)$ or $u_2 = v_2$ and $(u_1, v_1) \in E(G)$ or $(u_1, v_1) \in E(G)$ and $(u_2, v_2) \in E(H)$.

We start with some basic observations that follow from the known results.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a graph with $|G| = 2n$, $\mathrm{mr}(G) = n$ and $q(G) = 2$. Then $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = n$.*

Proof. Since $q(G) = 2$, there exists a symmetric matrix $A \in S(G)$ with exactly two distinct eigenvalues. Let k and $2n - k$ be the corresponding multiplicities. Since maximal multiplicity of an eigenvalue among matrices in $S(G)$ is n, we have $k \leq n$ and $2n - k \leq n$, proving that $k = n$. □

Note that the same argument as in the proof above, tells us that there is no graph G, $|G| = 2n + 1$, with $\mathrm{mr}(G) = n + 1$ and $q(G) = 2$.

In the following proposition we gather observations on $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ that follow from results in [1] on $q(G)$.

Proposition 2.2.

1. *For a connected graph G we have $\mathrm{Mm}(G \vee G) = |G|$.*
2. *If $|G| = 2n$, $|H| = 2m$ with $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = n$ and $\mathrm{Mm}(H) = m$, then $\mathrm{Mm}(G \cup H) = n + m$.*
3. *Let G' be the corona graph of G, i.e. the graph with $2|G|$ vertices obtained from G by joining each vertex of G with a pendant vertex. Then $\mathrm{Mm}(G') \geq \mathrm{Mm}(G)$.*
4. *Let G be a graph on n vertices with $q(G) = 2$. Then $\mathrm{Mm}(G \Box K_2) = n$.*

Proof.

1. In [1, Theorem 5.2] it is proved that $q(G \vee G) = 2$ for a connected graph G. This is proved by showing that there exists a matrix $Q \in S(G \vee G)$ of the form
$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{P} & \sqrt{I - P} \\ \sqrt{I - P} & -\sqrt{P} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $Q^2 = I$ this implies that $q(Q) = 2$. More precisely, 1 and -1 are the only eigenvalues of Q. Since the trace of Q is 0, it follows that both 1 and -1 must have multiplicity $|G|$ and thus $\mathrm{Mm}(G \vee G) = |G|$.

2. Choose $A \in S(G)$ with eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2, each having multiplicity n, and $B \in S(H)$ with eigenvalues μ_1, μ_2, each having multiplicity m. Then the matrix

$$A \oplus \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}\left(B + \frac{\mu_1\lambda_2 - \mu_2\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} I\right)$$

has eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2, each having multiplicity $n + m$.

3. It was proved in [1, Lemma 6.10] that if λ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity m, then the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in S(G')$$

has two distinct eigenvalues $\mu_1(\lambda)$ and $\mu_2(\lambda)$ both with multiplicity m.

4. By [1, Lemma 2.3] there exists $A \in S(G)$ such that $q(A) = q(G) = 2$ and the eigenvalues of A are 1 and -1. Therefore the minimal polynomial of A is equal to $x^2 - 1$. As in the proof of [1, Theorem 6.7], we construct a matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} A & I_n \\ I_n & -A \end{pmatrix} \in S(G\Box K_2)$. Since $A^2 = I_n$, it follows that $B^2 = 2I_{2n}$. This proves that the minimal polynomial of matrix B is $x^2 - 2$ and thus the only possible eigenvalues of B are $\sqrt{2}$ and $-\sqrt{2}$. Since the trace of B is equal to 0, the multiplicities of the two eigenvalues have to be equal and so $\mathrm{Mm}(G\Box K_2) = n$.

□

Proposition 2.3. *Let Q_s be the hypercube, $|Q_s| = 2^s$. Then* $\mathrm{Mm}(Q_s) = 2^{s-1}$.

Proof. By [1, Corollary 6.9] we have $q(Q_s) = 2$ and by [10, Theorem 3.1] we have $\mathrm{mr}(Q_s) = 2^{s-1}$. Using Theorem 2.1 it follows that $\mathrm{Mm}(Q_s) = 2^{s-1}$. □

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph on n vertices with an induced tree on $n-k$ vertices. Then* $\mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq k+1$.

Proof. Suppose $A \in S(G)$ with $\mathrm{Mm}(A) = \mathrm{Mm}(G)$ and let $A_1 \in S(T)$ be its principal $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ submatrix for some induced tree T of G on $n-k$ vertices. If $\mathrm{Mm}(G) \geq k+2$, then all the eigenvalues of A have multiplicity at least $k+2$. So, let us denote the eigenvalues of A by

$$\lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_{k+2} \geq \lambda_{k+3} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n.$$

By interlacing, the submatrix A_1 has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{n-k},$$

which contradicts [11, Remark 4] that states that the largest eigenvalue of a tree must be simple. □

Corollary 5.1 will show that even for $k = 1$ there exist graphs for which the inequality in Theorem 2.1 is strict. Moreover, Corollary 5.2 will show that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

In the next proposition we gather some estimates for Mm for different products of graphs. The proofs of those estimates are not difficult and are straightforward modifications of the proofs of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 in [15].

Proposition 2.4. *For any two graphs G and H we have:*

1. $\mathrm{Mm}(G \times H) \geq \mathrm{Mm}(G)\mathrm{Mm}(H)$
2. $\mathrm{Mm}(G \square H) \geq \mathrm{Mm}(G)\mathrm{Mm}(H)$
3. $\mathrm{Mm}(G \boxtimes H) \geq \mathrm{Mm}(G)\mathrm{Mm}(H)$

3. Some Constructions

In this section we leave our discussion of the parameter Mm to develop some technical tools that we will need later in the paper to construct matrices with large Mm. First we state a construction introduced by Fiedler [9].

Theorem 3.1 ([9]). *Let A be a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ and let u, $||u|| = 1$, be a unit eigenvector corresponding to α_1. Let B be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ and let v, $||v|| = 1$, be a unit eigenvector corresponding to β_1.*

Then for any ρ, the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A & \rho u v^T \\ \rho v u^T & B \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$, where γ_1, γ_2 are eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \rho \\ \rho & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we offer a generalization of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $B \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ be symmetric matrices, and let $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ be orthogonal matrices such that*

$$U^T A U = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V^T B V = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D_1 \in M_k(\mathbb{R})$ and $E_1 \in M_l(\mathbb{R})$ and the partition of the matrices U and V indicated above is consistent with the orders of D_i and E_i, $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A & U_1 R V_1^T \\ V_1 R^T U_1^T & B \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } W = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 & U_2 & 0 \\ 0 & V_1 & 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$. Then W is an orthogonal matrix and

$$W^T C W = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & R & 0 & 0 \\ R^T & E_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since U and V are orthogonal matrices, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1^T \\ U_2^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1^T U_1 & U_1^T U_2 \\ U_2^T U_1 & U_2^T U_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$U_1^T U_1 = I_k,\ U_2^T U_2 = I_{n-k},\ U_1^T U_2 = 0,\ U_2^T U_1 = 0. \tag{2}$$

Similarly we get:

$$V_1^T V_1 = I_l,\ V_2^T V_2 = I_{m-l},\ V_1^T V_2 = 0,\ V_2^T V_1 = 0. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, from

$$U^T A U = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V^T A V = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

we get:

$$U_1^T A U_1 = D_1,\ U_2^T A U_2 = D_2,\ U_1^T A U_2 = 0,\ U_2^T A U_1 = 0, \tag{4}$$

and

$$V_1^T B V_1 = E_1,\ V_2^T B V_2 = E_2,\ V_1^T B V_2 = 0,\ V_2^T B V_1 = 0. \tag{5}$$

Using equations (2), (3), (4) and (5) we can easily show that $W^T W = I_{n+m}$ and

$$W^T C W = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & R & 0 & 0 \\ R^T & E_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which completes the proof. □

In the discussion below, we are assuming the definitions and notations from Theorem 3.2. In our application, we will take:

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & R \\ R^T & E_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & b\cos\alpha & b\sin\alpha \\ 0 & a_1 & -b\sin\alpha & b\cos\alpha \\ b\cos\alpha & -b\sin\alpha & a_2 & 0 \\ b\sin\alpha & b\cos\alpha & 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

and the following lemma deals with this situation.

Lemma 3.1. *If $b = \sqrt{t(a_1 - a_2 + t)}$, where $a_1 > a_2 - t$ and $t > 0$, then the eigenvalues of matrix D_0, defined in (6), are equal to $a_1+t, a_1+t, a_2-t, a_2-t$ and the orthogonal matrix that diagonalizes D_0 is equal to*

$$U_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_1 - a_2 + 2t}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b\sin\alpha}{\sqrt{t}} & \frac{b\cos\alpha}{\sqrt{t}} & -\sqrt{t}\sin\alpha & -\sqrt{t}\cos\alpha \\ \frac{b\cos\alpha}{\sqrt{t}} & -\frac{b\sin\alpha}{\sqrt{t}} & -\sqrt{t}\cos\alpha & \sqrt{t}\sin\alpha \\ 0 & \sqrt{t} & 0 & \frac{b}{\sqrt{t}} \\ \sqrt{t} & 0 & \frac{b}{\sqrt{t}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. The statements in this lemma can be checked by a straightforward direct calculation. □

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $B \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ be symmetric matrices, such that

$$U^T A U = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}, \; V^T B V = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some orthogonal matrices $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $V = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$ as in Theorem 3.2. Let us define

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} b\cos\alpha & b\sin\alpha \\ -b\sin\alpha & b\cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b = \sqrt{t(a_1 - a_2 + t)}$, $a_1 > a_2 - t$ and $t > 0$. Now Theorem 3.2 tells us that the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A & U_1 R V_1^T \\ V_1 R^T U_1^T & B \end{pmatrix}$$

is orthogonally similar to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (a_1+t)I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (a_2-t)I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with orthogonal similarity $\hat{W} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0 & U_2 & 0 \\ 0 & V_1 & 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$, where U_0 is defined in (7).

If we assume that U_1 and V_1 have no zero entries, then the first four columns of $\hat{W}$ also do not have any zero entries, for all but a finite set of α. Since we may choose α arbitrarily, we have found a matrix C with two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to $a_1 + t$ that do not have any zero entries, and two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to $a_2 - t$ that do not have any zero entries.

This discussion yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $B_i \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{R})$, $i = 1, 2$, be a symmetric matrix with the spectrum $(\lambda_i, \lambda_i, \sigma_i)$, where σ_i is a list of $n_i - 2$ real numbers. Let $t > 0$ and assume that B_i has at least two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to λ_i that do not contain any zero elements and that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 - t$.*

Then there exists an $n_1 \times n_2$ matrix S that does not contain any zero elements, such that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & S \\ S^T & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n_1+n_2}(\mathbb{R})$$

has the spectrum $(\lambda_1 + t, \lambda_1 + t, \lambda_2 - t, \lambda_2 - t, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. Moreover, C has at least two orthogonal eigenvectors without zero entries corresponding to $\lambda_1 + t$ and at lest two orthogonal eigenvectors without zero entries corresponding to $\lambda_2 - t$.

We will also make use of the following construction from [16].

Lemma 3.2 ([16]). *Let B be a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix with eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m$, and let u be an eigenvector corresponding to μ_1 normalized so that $u^T u = 1$. Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with a diagonal element μ_1*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & b \\ b^T & \mu_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

and eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Then the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & bu^T \\ ub^T & B \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_m$.

Remark 3.1. *In [16] the eigenvectors of C in terms of the eigenvectors of A and B are given in the following way. Let*

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ \alpha_i \end{pmatrix},\ v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},\ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

be the orthonormal set of eigenvectors of A corresponding to λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and let u_i, $i = 2, \ldots, m$, together with u be the orthonormal set of eigenvectors corresponding to μ_i. Then C has the following eigenvectors: eigenvector of C corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, is equal to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ \alpha_i u \end{pmatrix},$$

and eigenvector of C corresponding to μ_i, $i = 2, \ldots, m$, is equal to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. Graphs with large Mm

4.1. Graphs having $\mathrm{mr}_+G = 2$

Graphs whose minimal rank is small will typically have large Mm. We denote by $\mathrm{mr}_+(G)$ the minimum rank among all positive semidefinite symmetric matrices corresponding to G. A characterisation of graphs with $\mathrm{mr}_+(G) \leq 2$ given in [5] is restated below.

Theorem 4.1 ([5]). *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then $\mathrm{mr}_+(G) \leq 2$ if and only if G^c has the form*

$$(K_{p_1,q_1} \cup K_{p_2,q_2} \cup \ldots \cup K_{p_k,q_k}) \vee K_r \tag{9}$$

for appropriate nonnegative integers $k, p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_k, q_k, r$ with $p_i + q_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

In this section we show that essentially all graphs G with $\mathrm{mr}_+(G) = 2$, have the maximal possible Mm. Namely, the main purpose of this section is to show the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a graph on n vertices with G^c of the form:*

$$(K_{p_0,0} \cup K_{p_1,q_1} \cup K_{p_2,q_2} \cup \ldots \cup K_{p_k,q_k}) \vee K_r \tag{10}$$

where $p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_k, q_k$ are positive integers, $p_0, k, r \geq 0$ and $(p_0, k) \neq (1, 1)$. Then for any two nonnegative integers n_1 and n_2 that satisfy $4 + n_1 + n_2 = n$ there exists a matrix $A \in S(G)$ that has precisely two distinct eigenvalues λ and μ with multiplicities $2 + n_1$ and $2 + n_2$, respectively.

Corollary 4.1. *If G is a graph on n vertices such that $\mathrm{mr}_+(G) = 2$ and G is not of the form $((K_{1,0} \cup K_{p,q}) \vee K_r)^c$, then $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.*

Note that in the case $k = r = 0$, we have $G = (K_{p_0,0})^c = K_{p_0}$ and in the case $p_0 = r = 0$, $k = 1$, we have $G = (K_{p,q})^c = K_p \cup K_q$. Matrices in $S(K_n)$ will be the building blocks in our construction. It will prove useful that result from [15] considers not only the eigenvalues of these matrices, but also says something about the pattern of some of the associated eigenvectors.

Theorem 4.3 ([15]). *For any given list of real numbers $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, there exists $A_n \in S(K_n)$ with the spectrum σ.*

Furthermore, given any zero-nonzero pattern of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that contains at least two nonzero elements, A_n can be chosen in such a way that there exist an eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 with the given pattern.

Corollary 4.2. *For any integer $n \geq 2$ we have $\mathrm{Mm}(K_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.*

While Theorem 4.3 solves the inverse eigenvalue problem for complete graphs, we note that in order for a matrix $A \in S(K_p \cup K_q)$ to have an eigenvector corresponding to an eigenvalue λ that has no zero entries, this eigenvalue will need to be a repeated eigenvalue in A.

We will use inductive arguments to gradually construct matrices with the desired pattern and eigenvalues. First we present three lemmas to resolve the cases that will be used as the basis of our construction.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a matrix in $S((K_{2,0} \cup K_{1,1})^c)$ with two distinct eigenvalues both with multiplicity 2, and with an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors that do not contain any zero elements.*

Proof. The matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{2+3\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{23}\left(9-2\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{21+26\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt[4]{3}\left(-11+5\sqrt{3}\right) \\ \frac{1}{23}\left(9-2\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{2}{2+3\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{21+26\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{-330+196\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{21+26\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{21+26\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2}{23}\left(-9+2\sqrt{3}\right) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{23}\sqrt[4]{3}\left(-11+5\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{1}{23}\sqrt{-330+196\sqrt{3}} & 0 & -\frac{4}{23}\left(-9+2\sqrt{3}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

has the desired properties. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 4$ vertices, such that*

$$G^c = K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1}.$$

Then for all nonnegative integers n_0, n_1 satisfying $4+n_0+n_1 = n$ there exists a matrix $A \in S(G)$ that has two distinct eigenvalues with multiplicities $2+n_0$ and $2+n_1$.

Proof. We are looking for an $n \times n$ matrix

$$B \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c\right)$$

with eigenvalues 0 and 1 with multiplicities $m_0 \geq 2$ and $m_1 \geq 2$, respectively. We will prove this claim by induction.

In the first step we distinguish between the cases when m_0 and m_1 are both even and when m_0 and m_1 are both odd. In the first case let us write $m_0 = 2k_0$ and $m_1 = 2k_1$ and in the second case let us write $m_0 = 2k_0 + 1$ and $m_1 = 2k_1 + 1$. Let $k = k_0 + k_1$. In the even case let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (k-1)t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + (k-1)t \end{pmatrix} \in S((K_{1,1})^c)$$

and in the odd case let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2a}{3} + 1 & 0 & -\frac{a}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{a^2 + \frac{3a}{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2a}{3} + 1 & -\frac{a}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{a^2 + \frac{3a}{2}} \\ -\frac{a}{3} & -\frac{a}{3} & \frac{a+3}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{a^2 + \frac{3a}{2}} & -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{a^2 + \frac{3a}{2}} & 0 & \frac{a}{3} \end{pmatrix} \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1})^c\right),$$

where $a = (k-1)t$ and the matrix A_1 has the spectrum $(1, 0, 1+(k-1)t, 1+(k-1)t)$. It is easy to check that in both cases we can find two orthogonal eigenvectors with no zero entries corresponding to $1 + (k-1)t$.

Starting with A_1 we will apply Corollary 3.1 in two ways. For $j = 2, \ldots, k_1$ we take

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - t \end{pmatrix} \in S((K_{1,1})^c) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{R})$$

and define $C_1 = A_1$. By Corollary 3.1, we recursively construct matrices

$$C_j = \begin{pmatrix} C_{j-1} & S_j \\ S_j^T & A_j \end{pmatrix} \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c\right) \subseteq M_{2j}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where in the even case C_j has eigenvalues $1+(k-j)t$ with multiplicity 2 and 1 with multiplicity $2(j-1)$, and in the odd case C_j has eigenvalues $1+(k-j)t$ with multiplicity 2, 1 with multiplicity $2(j-1)+1$, and 0 with multiplicity 1. In addition, the construction guarantees that C_j has two eigenvectors with no zero elements corresponding to $1 + (k-j)t$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, k_0$ we take

$$A_{k_1+j} = \begin{pmatrix} -t & 0 \\ 0 & -t \end{pmatrix} \in S((K_{1,1})^c) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Using Corollary 3.1 we recursively define

$$C_{k_1+j} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{k_1+j-1} & S_{k_1+j} \\ S_{k_1+j}^T & A_{k_1+j} \end{pmatrix} \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c\right) \subseteq M_{2(k_1+j)}(\mathbb{R})$$

such that in the even case C_{k_1+j} has eigenvalues $1+(k_0-j)t$ with multiplicity 2, 1 with multiplicity $2(k_1-1)$ and 0 with multiplicity $2j$, and in the odd case C_{k_1+j} has eigenvalues $1 + (k_0 - j)t$ with multiplicity 2, 1 with multiplicity $2(k_1 - 1) + 1$ and 0 with multiplicity $2j + 1$.

In this way we obtain a matrix $C_k = C_{k_1+k_0} \in S(K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c$ with eigenvalues 0 repeated $2k_0$ times and 1 repeated $2k_1$ times in the even case, and with eigenvalues 0 repeated $2k_0 + 1$ times and 1 repeated $2k_1 + 1$ times in the odd case. □

Remark 4.1. *Note that we can, using the same construction as in the proof above, construct a matrix $A \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c\right)$ with eigenvalues $1 + t$, $t > 0$, with multiplicity 2, 0 with multiplicity n_0 and 1 with multiplicity n_1 and two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to $1 + t$ that do not contain any zero elements. The only difference that we need to make in the proof is for the initial matrix A_1 to have eigenvalues $(1 + kt, 1 + kt)$ in the even case and eigenvalues $(1 + kt, 1 + kt, 1, 0)$ in the odd case.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 5$ vertices such that*

$$G^c = K_{1,0} \cup K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1}.$$

Then for all nonnegative integers n_0, n_1 such that $4 + n_0 + n_1 = n$ there exists a matrix $A \in S(G)$ that has two distinct eigenvalues with multiplicities $2 + n_0$ and $2 + n_1$.

Proof. Let $n = 2s + 1$. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{7}\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right) & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{1}{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{7\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{7}\left(3-\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{1}{7}\left(1-\sqrt{3}\right) & -\frac{\sqrt{6}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{3}{7} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{7} \\ \frac{1}{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{1}{7}\left(1-\sqrt{3}\right) & 0 & \frac{2}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{7} \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{7\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{\sqrt{6}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{7} & \frac{3}{7} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

is contained in $S\left((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,0})^c\right)$ and has eigenvalues 1 and 0 with respective multiplicities 2 and 3, and therefore proves our theorem in the case $s = 2$.

For $s \geq 3$ consider a graph

$$G = (\underbrace{K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1}}_{s-2} \cup (K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,0}))^c,$$

and take arbitrary integers $\hat{n}_0, \hat{n}_1 \geq 0$ such that $\hat{n}_0 + \hat{n}_1 + 7 = n$. Let $B_1 \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,0})^c\right)$ be a matrix with eigenvalues $-t$, $-t$, 1, 1, 1, where $0 < t < 1$, and two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to $-t$ without any zero elements. By example (11) and [1, Lemma 2.3] such a matrix exists. Remark 4.1 gives us a matrix $B_2 \in S\left((K_{1,1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,1})^c\right)$ on $n-5$ vertices with eigenvalues $1+t$ with multiplicity 2, 0 with multiplicity $\hat{n}_0$ and 1 with multiplicity $\hat{n}_1$ and two orthogonal eigenvectors corresponding to $1+t$ that do not contain any zero elements. By Corollary 3.1 there exists a matrix $C \in S(G)$ with eigenvalues 1 with multiplicity $5 + \hat{n}_1$ and 0 with multiplicity $2 + \hat{n}_0$.

We have now covered all the cases, except multiplicities $3, 4$ in the case $s = 3$. This case is solved by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{4}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{7} & \frac{3}{7\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{2\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\sqrt{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{2\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{7} & \frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}} \\
\frac{\sqrt{5}}{7} & \frac{5}{7} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{15}{7}}}{7} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7} \\
\frac{\sqrt{5}}{7} & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7+7\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{15}{7}}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7} \\
\frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7+7\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{7}\left(3+\sqrt{3}\right) & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{1}{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right) \\
-\frac{2\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\sqrt{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}{7\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right)} & 0 & \frac{1}{7}\left(3-\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{1}{7}\left(1-\sqrt{3}\right) \\
-\frac{2\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{15}{7}}}{7} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{15}{7}}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} & \frac{3}{7} & 0 \\
\frac{2}{7\sqrt{7}} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{7}}}{7} & \frac{1}{7}\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right) & \frac{1}{7}\left(1-\sqrt{3}\right) & 0 & \frac{2}{7}
\end{pmatrix}$$

in $S\left(\left(K_{1,0} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1} \cup K_{1,1}\right)^c\right)$ with eigenvalues 1 and 0 with respective multiplicities 3 and 4. $\square$

The following technical lemma that is a straightforward application of Lemma 3.2 will enable us to complete the proof of the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\hat{G}$ be a graph on n vertices with $\hat{G}^c$ of the form*

$$K_{p_0,0} \cup K_{p_1,q_1} \cup K_{p_2,q_2} \cup \ldots \cup K_{p_k,q_k},$$

where $k, p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_k, q_k$ are positive integers. Let G be a graph on $n+r \geq n$ vertices, that we obtain from a graph $\hat{G}$ by increasing one of the parameters p_j, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$, by r, i.e. G^c is of the form

$$K_{p_0,0} \cup K_{p_1,q_1} \cup \ldots \cup K_{p_{j-1},q_{j-1}} \cup K_{p_j+r,q_j} \cup K_{p_{j+1},q_{j+1}} \cup \ldots \cup K_{p_k,q_k}.$$

If there exists a matrix in $A \in S(\hat{G})$ with eigenvalues 0 and 1 with multiplicities $2+m_0$ and $2+m_1$, where $4+m_0+m_1 = n$, such that A does not have any diagonal elements equal to 0 or 1, then for any two nonnegative integers t and s satisfying $t+s = r$ there exists a matrix in $S(G)$ with eigenvalues 0 and 1 with multiplicities $2+m_0+t$ and $2+m_1+s$.

Proof. Let $A \in S(\hat{G})$ have eigenvalues 0 and 1 with multiplicities $2+m_0$ and $2+m_1$. Let a_{jj} be one of the diagonal element of A from a block corresponding to K_{p_j}. Let $B \in S(K_{r+1})$ have eigenvalues a_{jj}, 0 with multiplicity t and 1 with multiplicity s, $t+s=r$. (Here we need the condition on the diagonal elements of A, but only in the case when $s=0$ or $t=0$. If for example $s=0$ and $a_{jj}=0$, then matrix B that we need does not exist.)

Furthermore, we demand that the eigenvector corresponding to a_{jj} has no zero entries. Now we apply Lemma 3.2 to join matrices A and B through the diagonal element a_{jj}. The resulting matrix belongs to $S(G)$ and has the desired eigenvalues. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Note that the join with K_r in the statement of the theorem, adds some unconnected vertices to the graph G, therefore it is sufficient to study the graphs without the join. If $(p_0,k)=(0,1)$, then $G=(K_{p,q})^c=K_p\cup K_q$ and the statement follows by Theorem 4.3.

Following the proofs of Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 it is easy to see that matrices constructed in those lemmas do not have any of the diagonal elements equal to 1 or to 0.

Applying Lemma 4.4 to Lemma 4.1 proves the theorem for all graphs of the form

$$(K_{p_0,0}\cup K_{p_1,q_1})^c,$$

where $p_0\geq 2$, $p_1\geq 1$ and $q_1\geq 1$. Lemma 4.4 together with Lemma 4.2 gives the result for all graphs of the form

$$G=(K_{p_1,q_1}\cup\ldots\cup K_{p_k,q_k})^c,$$

where $p_i\geq 1$ and $q_i\geq 1$. Finally, Lemma 4.4 applied to Lemma 4.3 proves the theorem for graphs of the form:

$$G=(K_{p_0,0}\cup K_{p_1,q_1}\cup\ldots\cup K_{p_k,q_k})^c,$$

where $p_i\geq 1$ and $q_i\geq 1$ and $k\geq 2$. □

Note that in the case $(p_0,k)=(1,1)$ we have that $q\left((K_{1,0}\cup K_{p,q})^c\right)\geq 3$ by [1, Theorem 3.2]. Hence

$$q\left((K_{1,0}\cup K_{p,q})\vee K_r\right)^c\geq 3$$

and this case is excluded in Theorem 4.2. It follows from the proposition below that

$$\mathrm{Mm}\left((K_{1,0}\cup K_{p,q})\vee K_r\right)^c=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n = p + q + 1$, and let n_1, n_2, n_3 be positive integers such that $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n$. Then there exists $A \in S((K_{1,0} \cup K_{p,q})^c)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ with multiplicities n_1, n_2, n_3, respectivelly.*

Proof. For $n = 3$ any matrix in $S((K_{1,0} \cup K_{p,q})^c)$ satisfies the conditions of the proposition. For example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in S((K_{1,0} \cup K_{1,1})^c).$$

has eigenvalues $(3, -\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$.

Let p_i and q_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be nonnegative integers such that $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p - 1$ and $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = q - 1$. Let $B_1 \in S(K_p)$ have eigenvalues 1 with multiplicity 1, 3 with multiplicity p_1, $\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity p_2 and $-\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity p_3, $1+p_1+p_2+p_3 = p$. Moreover, we demand that the eigenvector of B_1 corresponding to 1 has no zero elements. Similarly, let $B_2 \in S(K_p)$ have eigenvalues 2 with multiplicity 1, 3 with multiplicity q_1, $\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity q_2 and $-\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity q_3, $1 + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = q$, with eigenvector corresponding to 2 having no zero elements. Matrices B_1 and B_2 exist by Theorem 4.3.

Now we use Lemma 3.2 to first join matrix A with B_1 through the diagonal element 1, and then to join the resulting matrix with B_2 through the diagonal element 2. In this way be obtain a matrix in $S((K_{1,0} \cup K_{p,q})^c)$ with eigenvalues 3 with multiplicity $1 + p_1 + q_1$, $\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity $1 + p_2 + q_2$ and $-\sqrt{3}$ with multiplicity $1 + p_3 + q_3$. Since we didn't pose any conditions on the parameters p_i and q_i this proves or claim. □

4.2. Complete bipartite graphs

Another family of graphs with small minimal rank is the set of complete bipartite graphs. Note that $\mathrm{mr}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ for any positive integers m, n. We will prove that $\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,n}) = \lfloor \frac{m+n}{3} \rfloor$ if $m \neq n$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $m \leq n$. Then any list of the form*

$$(\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m, -\lambda_m, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-m}), \tag{12}$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for $i = 2, \ldots, m$, is the spectrum of a matrix $A \in S(K_{m,n})$.

Proof. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & B \\ B^T & 0_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

where B is an $m \times n$ matrix. Then, using Schur complement, we can compute the characteristic polynomial of A as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(xI - A) &= \det(xI_n)\det(xI_m - x^{-1}BB^T) \\ &= x^{n-m}\det(x^2 I_m - BB^T). \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that if $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$ are the eigenvalues of BB^T, then the eigenvalues of A are $\sqrt{\mu_i}$, $-\sqrt{\mu_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, together with $n - m$ instances of the eigenvalue 0. It remains to show that we can find a matrix B that does not contain any zero elements so that BB^T has eigenvalues λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Let A_0 be a symmetric matrix in $S(K_m)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m$ and let U be an orthogonal matrix that diagonalises A_0: $U^T A_0 U = D$. Then $A_0 = UD^{\frac{1}{2}}(UD^{\frac{1}{2}})^T$, and the matrix $B_0 = UD^{1/2}$ satisfies the spectral conditions that we need, however it can have some zero entries.

Since we have choosen $A_0 \in S(K_m)$ we know that B_0 has no zero rows, so there exists an orthogonal matrix V such that $B_0 V$ has no zero elements. (A generic $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix will accomplish this.) We take $B = B_0 V$ to finish the proof. □

Corollary 4.3. *For any positive integers m, n,*

$$\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} m, & m = n, \\ \lfloor \frac{m+n}{3} \rfloor, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that by [1, Corollary 6.5], we have

$$q(K_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 2, & m = n, \\ 3, & m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

From (1) it follows that $\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,m}) \leq m$ and $\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,n}) \leq \lfloor \frac{m+n}{3} \rfloor$ for $m \neq n$. In the case $m = n$ this implies by Theorem 4.4 that $\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,m}) = m$.

If $m \neq n$, let $m + n = 3s + k$, where $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $k \in \{0, 1\}$, then choose in Theorem 4.4 a matrix $A \in K_{m,n}$ with eigenvalues λ, $-\lambda$ and 0 with multiplicities s, s, and $s + k$, respectively. In the case $k = 2$, take $A \in K_{m,n}$ with eigenvalues λ, $-\lambda$ and 0 with multiplicities $s + 1$, $s + 1$ and s, respectively. This shows that $\mathrm{Mm}(K_{m,n}) = s = \lfloor \frac{m+n}{3} \rfloor$ if $m \neq n$. □

5. Graphs with small Mm

In this section we look at some cases when Mm is small. Since we know that the largest and the smallest eigenvalue of a matrix $A \in S(T)$, where T is a tree, both have multiplicities 1, [11, Remark 4], we have $\mathrm{Mm}(T) = 1$ for all trees T.

In [12] a characterisation of graphs with maximal multiplicity of an eigenvalue equal to 2 is given. It is shown that if in the graph G there exist two independent induced paths that cover all the vertices of G and such that any edges between the two paths can be drawn so that they do not cross, then G has maximal multiplicity of an eigenvalue equal to 2. Such graphs are called *graphs with two parallel paths*. It clear, that if $\mathrm{mr}(G) = n - 2$, then $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ is either equal to 1 or to 2. We will show that both cases can occur.

First, we start by Theorem that will imply $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 1$ for certain unicyclic graphs G having $M(G) = 2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d & a^T \\ a & D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

where $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix}^T$ *and* D *is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements* $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$. *Let* λ *be an eigenvalue of* A *with multiplicity* k. *If the multiplicity of* λ *in* D *is either* $k-1$ *or* k, *then* $d_i = \lambda$ *implies* $a_i = 0$.

Proof. The characteristic polynomial of A is equal to

$$p(x) = (x-d)\prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-d_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \prod_{j\neq i}(x-d_j).$$

Since λ is an eigenvalue of A of multiplicity k, it follows that $p(x) = (x-\lambda)^k p_1(x)$, where $p_1(\lambda) \neq 0$. By interlacing, λ is an eigenvalue of D with multiplicity l , where $k-1 \leq l \leq k+1$. Suppose that $l \leq k$ and without loss of generality we let $d_1 = d_2 = \ldots = d_l = \lambda$ and we assume $d_{l+1}, \dots, d_n$ are all different from λ. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(x-\lambda)^{k-l+1}p_1(x) = (x-d)(x-\lambda)\prod_{i=l+1}^{n}(x-d_i) - \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2 \prod_{j=l+1}^{n}(x-d_j) - \sum_{i=l+1}^{l} a_i^2 (x-\lambda)\prod_{\substack{j=l+1 \\ j\neq i}}^{n}(x-d_j).\end{aligned}$$

Since $k-l+1 \geq 1$, all but one therm in the above expression is divisible by $(x-\lambda)$. The therm that is not divisible by $(x-\lambda)$ is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2 \prod_{j=l+1}^{n} (x-d_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2 \right) \prod_{j=l+1}^{n} (x-d_i).$$

This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i^2 = 0$ and $a_1 = \ldots = a_l = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a graph with $A \in S(G)$ of the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d & b_1^T & \ldots & b_p^T & c^T \\ b_1 & B_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ b_p & & & B_p & \\ c & & & & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \tag{15}$$

where $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$, $n_i \geq 2$, are not diagonal matrices, and D is an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix. Moreover, $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ are not zero vectors and $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ has no zero elements. Let matrix A be of the form (15) and have t distinct eigenvalues. Then

$$\mathrm{Mm}(A) \leq \frac{2(n-m-p-1)}{t+1} + 1.$$

In particular:

$$\mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq \frac{n-m-p-1}{2} + 1.$$

Proof. Let A have t distinct eigenvalues λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, with multiplicities $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_t$. If $\min_i\{k_i\} = 1$, then $\mathrm{Mm}(A) = 1$ and the statement follows. So, suppose $k_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. By the interlacing theorem each λ_i is an eigenvalue of the submatrix $B \oplus D = B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_p \oplus D$ of multiplicity $k_i - 1$, k_i or $k_i + 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, have multiplicities $k_i - 1$ or k_i as eigenvalues of $B \oplus D$, and eigenvalues λ_i, $i = s+1, \ldots, t$, have multiplicities $k_i + 1$ as eigenvalues of $B \oplus D$. Denote $s' = t - s$. It follows that

$$k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_s - s + k_{s+1} + \ldots + k_t + s' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{mult}_{B \oplus D}(\lambda_i) \leq n - 1,$$

and from here $s - s' \geq 1$. From $t = s + s'$ it now follows that $s \geq \frac{t+1}{2}$.

Let $U_B = U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_p$ be an orthogonal matrix that diagonalises $B = B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_p$, i.e. $U_B B U_B^T = D_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus D_p$, where $D_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$ are all diagonal matrices. Then $U = 1 \oplus U_B \oplus I_m$ puts A in the form of Lemma 5.1:

$$UAU^T = \begin{pmatrix} d & b_1^T U_1^T & \ldots & b_p^T U_p^T & c^T \\ U_1 b_1 & D_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ U_p b_p & & & D_p & \\ c & & & & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

If for some j, $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, all the eigenvalues of B_j are among λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, then $U_j b_j = 0$ by Lemma 5.1 and hence $b_j = 0$, a contradiction. So for each j, $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, at least one of the eigenvalues of B_j has to be different than λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Since we are assuming that c^T has no zero elements, Lemma 5.1 also tells us that λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, are not eigenvalues of D. Since $k_i \geq 2$, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$ are the eigenvalues of B with multiplicities at least $k_i - 1 \geq 1$. The sum of multiplicities of $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$ in B gives us

$$k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_s - s \leq n_1 + \ldots + n_p - p.$$

Since $\mathrm{Mm}(A) \leq k_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we have

$$s\,\mathrm{Mm}(A) \leq n_1 + \ldots + n_p - p + s = n - m - p - 1 + s$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Mm}(A) \leq \frac{n - m - p - 1}{s} + 1 \leq \frac{2(n - m - p - 1)}{t + 1} + 1.$$

In particular, by [1, Theorem 3.2] the minimal number of distinct eigenvalues of A is $q(G) \geq 3$ and thus we have $t \geq 3$ and the result is proved. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let G be a graph with $A \in S(G)$ of the form*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d & b^T & c^T \\ b & B & 0 \\ c & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \tag{16}$$

where $B \in M_{n_1 \times n_1}(\mathbb{R})$, D is an $n_2 \times n_2$ diagonal matrix, $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $b \neq 0$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ has no zero elements. Then

$$\mathrm{Mm}(G) \leq \frac{n_1 + 1}{2}.$$

Remark 5.1. *The inequality between* n_1 *and* $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ *is the best possible, since it is achieved for complete graphs* G*. (In this case* $n_2 = 0$*.) It is not difficult to find examples of* $A \in S(G)$ *for which the inequality is achieved, where* $G(B)$ *is the complete graph on* n_1 *vertices and* n_2 *is kept general.*

Remark 5.2. *For* $n_1 = 2$ *in Corollary 5.1 we get* $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 1$*. If* B *has nonzero off-diagonal entries, then the theorem gives us an example of a graph* G *on* n *vertices with* $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 1$ *that is not a tree, and whose maximal multiplicity of an eigenvalue is* $n - 3$*. Example of such graph where* $n_1 = 2$ *and* $n_2 = 7$*:*



Example 5.1. *Let* $a^T = (0, 0, \sqrt{\frac{1}{m+1}})$*,* $c^T = (\sqrt{\frac{1}{m+1}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{m+1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\frac{1}{m+1}}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *and*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^T & c^T \\ a & A_1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+4)\times(m+4)}$$

has the spectrum $(1, 1, -1, -1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$*, where the multiplicity of* 0 *is* m*. The matrix*

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

is an orthogonal matrix,

$$B = UA_1U^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \in S(K_3)$$

and

$$Ua = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{m+1}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}\sqrt{m+1}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{m+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a^T U^T & c^T \\ Ua & B & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is similar to A, hence it has the same spectrum.

This example shows that the inequality in Corollary 5.1 can be achieved, and that the multiplicity of one of the eigenvalues can be arbitrarily large.

Next we introduce a family of graphs G with $M(G) = 2$ and $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 2$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let*

$$M_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} T_n & D_n \\ D_n & T_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where T_n is an $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix with all its nonzero entries equal to 1, and D_n a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ satisfying the condition

$$d_j = -d_{n-j+1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Then the characteristic polynomial of M_{2n} is of the form $p(x)^2$ for some polynomial $p(x)$ of degree n.

Proof. First we observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0_n \\ I_n & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_n & D_n \\ D_n & T_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0_n \\ -I_n & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_n - D_n & D_n \\ 0_n & T_n + D_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let P_n be a permutation matrix with $p_{j,\,n-j+1} = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots n$. Notice that $P_n T_n P_n = T_n$, $P_n D_n P_n = -D_n$, and

$$P_n(T_n + D_n)P_n = T_n - D_n.$$

This shows that $T_n - D_n$ and $T_n + D_n$ are similar, hence have the same spectrum. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a graph with $M_{2n} \in S(G)$, where M_{2n} is defined in Proposition 5.1. Then* $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 2$.

Proof. The result follows from the fact that graphs G with $M_{2n} \in S(G)$ are graphs with two parallel paths and therefore $M(G) = 2$. By Proposition 5.1 it follows that $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 2$. □

Example 5.2. *The four connected graphs on 8 vertices having* $\mathrm{Mm}(G) = 2$ *that are covered by Corollary 5.2 are:*



In this work we introduced a parameter Mm to be studied in connection with the inverse eigenvalue problem for graphs. We listed some basic properties of Mm and derived $\mathrm{Mm}(G)$ for some families of graphs G. We believe that looking at Mm is a good way to expose a deeper insight into the eigenvalue structure that is allowed under pattern constraints imposed by a given graph G.

References

[1] Bahman Ahmadi, Fatemeh Alinaghipour, Michael S. Cavers, Shaun Fallat, Karen Meagher, and Shahla Nasserasr. Minimum number of distinct eigenvalues of graphs. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 26:673–691, 2013.

[2] Wayne Barrett, H. Tracy Hall, and Raphael Loewy. The inverse inertia problem for graphs: cut vertices, trees, and a counterexample. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(8):1147–1191, 2009.

[3] Wayne Barrett, H. Tracy Hall, and Hein van der Holst. The inertia set of the join of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434(10):2197–2203, 2011.

[4] Wayne Barrett, Camille Jepsen, Robert Lang, Emily McHenry, Curtis Nelson, and Kayla Owens. Inertia sets for graphs on six or fewer vertices. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 20:53–78, 2010.

[5] Wayne Barrett, Hein van der Holst, and Raphael Loewy. Graphs whose minimal rank is two. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 11:258–280, 2004.

[6] Aleksandra Erić and C. M. da Fonseca. Unordered multiplicity lists of wide double paths. *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 6(2):279–288, 2013.

[7] S. Fallat and L. Hogben. Variants on the minimum rank problem: A survey II. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2011.

[8] Shaun M. Fallat and Leslie Hogben. The minimum rank of symmetric matrices described by a graph: a survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 426(2-3):558–582, 2007.

[9] Miroslav Fiedler. Eigenvalues of nonnegative symmetric matrices. *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, 9:119–142, 1974.

[10] AIM Minimum Rank-Special Graphs Work Group. Zero forcing sets and the minimum rank of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428(7):1628–1648, 2008.

[11] Charles R. Johnson and António Leal Duarte. On the possible multiplicities of the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix whose graph is a tree. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 348:7–21, 2002.

[12] Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy, and Paul Anthony Smith. The graphs for which the maximum multiplicity of an eigenvalue is two. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 57(7):713–736, 2009.

[13] Charles R. Johnson, Jonathan Nuckols, and Calum Spicer. The implicit construction of multiplicity lists for classes of trees and verification of some conjectures. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(5):1990–2003, 2013.

[14] In-Jae Kim and Bryan L. Shader. Unordered multiplicity lists of a class of binary trees. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(10):3781–3788, 2013.

[15] Polona Oblak and Helena Šmigoc. Graphs that allow all the eigenvalue multiplicities to be even. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 454:72–90, 2014.

[16] Helena Šmigoc. The inverse eigenvalue problem for nonnegative matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 393:365–374, 2004.